

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/668,980		09/22/2003	Purushottam Das Agrawal	PDA-1001 1773		
7733	7590	04/20/2005		EXAMINER		
WALKER		•	MULLIS, JEFFREY C			
MEDINA,		DWAY STREET 56		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1711		
				DATE MAILED: 04/20/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			14 / 1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/668,980	AGRAWAL, PUR	USHOTTAM DAS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thicod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered time  THS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	Ephruany 2005						
	his action is non-final.						
,	,						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	Irawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
	) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National	Stage				
		- 2 ·					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Theories	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date					
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	(5) ☐ Notice of I (6) ☐ Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTC 	D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/668,980

Art Unit: 1711

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "high" is relative and subjective and therefore unclear.

The term "ultra-violet light stability" is unclear since it is subjective as to how much resistance to ultraviolet light would be needed to be considered stable.

The materials embraced by applicants' maleic anhydride ethylene copolymer are unclear in that applicants specification indicates that such materials include modified polyethylene which is not a copolymer of (monomeric) ethylene and maleic anhydride at all.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 12, 14, 16, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takahashi et al. (US 5910540).

Application/Control Number: 10/668,980

Art Unit: 1711

Patentees in Example 6 in Table 3 disclose a blend containing 50% hydrogenated SIS and 50% polyurethane which is extruded and laminated to polypropylene. The Polyurethane may be derived from a polyether at column 9, lines 30-40.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 14, 15, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fay (US 6025067).

Fay disclose a composition comprised of polyurethane and SIS (abstract) which may be laminated to PVC at column 6, lines 48-50.

Claims 1 3 5 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lehr (US 4161472).

Lehr in claim 14 discloses a composition combined with glass fibers (embraced by applicants' substrate) which may contain styrene-isoprene block copolymer and must contain PVC.

Claims 6-7, 12-13, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fay, cited above in view of either Kudo et al (US6802932) or Kindrick (6739008).

Fay does not disclose that laminates to applicants specific substrates such as polypropylene, fabric and nylon. However both the secondary and primary references

Art Unit: 1711

disclose formation of cushions and the secondary references disclose lamination to applicants specific materials to achieve such a goal (see for instance Kudo at column 7, lines 40-44) and ther4efor use of the materials of the secondary reference for lamination to from a cushion would have been obvious to a practitioner having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to achieve the goal ogf the primary reference to make a cushion ,absent any showing of surprising or unexpected results.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 14, 15, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(\*\*b\*) as being anticipated by Tasaka.

See Tasaka Example 5 in column 29 for applicants composition.

Claims 9 11 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tasaka, cited above.

Tasaka disclose no specific examples having applicants maleic anhydride ethylene copolymer but discloses such usage at column 10, line 30-40 and therefore use of such would have been obvious to a practitioner having an ordinary skil in the art at the time of the invention, absent any showing of surprising or unexpected results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey C. Mullis at telephone number 571 272 1075.

Jeffrey C. Mullis J Mullis Art Unit 1711

JCM

Jeffrey Mulis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711